arXiv:1602.05481v1 [cs.CG] 17 Feb 2016

Improved Bounds on the Stretch Factor of Y_4 *

Mirela Damian and Naresh Nelavalli

Department of Computing Sciences, Villanova University, Villanova, USA
mirela.damian@villanova.edu and nnelaval@villanova.edu

Abstract. We establish an upper bound of $13 + 8\sqrt{2} \lesssim 4.931$ on the stretch factor of the Yao graph Y_4^∞ defined in the L_∞-metric, improving upon the best previously known upper bound of 6.31. We also establish an upper bound of $(11 + 7\sqrt{2})\sqrt{4 + 2\sqrt{2}} \lesssim 54.62$ on the stretch factor of the Yao graph Y_4 defined in the L_2-metric, improving upon the best previously known upper bound of 662.16.

1 Introduction

Let V be a finite set of points in the plane. The *directed Yao graph* [8] with integer parameter $k > 0$, denoted $\overrightarrow{Y_k}$, is defined as follows. At each point $u \in V$, any k equally-separated rays originating at u define k cones. In each cone, pick a shortest edge (u, v), if there is one, and add to $\overrightarrow{Y_k}$ the directed edge $\overrightarrow{(u, v)}$. Ties are broken arbitrarily. The *undirected Yao graph* Y_k includes all edges of $\overrightarrow{Y_k}$ but ignores their directions. Most of the time we ignore the direction of an edge (u, v). We refer to the directed version $\overrightarrow{(u, v)}$ of (u, v) only when its origin (u) is important and unclear from the context. We will distinguish between Y_k, the Yao graph in the Euclidean L_2 metric, and Y_k^∞, the Yao graph in the L_∞ metric. Unlike Y_k however, in constructing Y_k^∞ ties are broken by always selecting the most counterclockwise edge. This tie breaking rule was first mentioned in [5], where it was required in order to maintain the planarity of Y_4^∞. Throughout the rest of the paper we will refer to the points in V as *vertices*, to distinguish them from other points in the plane.

For a given graph G with vertex set V, we say that H is a *t-spanner* of G if, for any pair of vertices $u, v \in V$, a shortest path in H from u to v is no longer than t times the length of a shortest path in G between u and v. A graph H is a *t-spanner* of V if H is a t-spanner of the complete graph on V. The value t is called the *stretch factor* of H. If t is constant, then H is called a *length spanner*, or simply a *spanner*.

The spanning properties of Yao graphs have been extensively studied. Table 1 summarizes some results that are relevant to this paper.

Reference	Graph	Stretch Factor
[6]	Y_2, Y_3	∞
[5]	Y_4	$8\sqrt{2}(26 + 23\sqrt{2}) \lesssim 662.16$
[1]	Y_5	$2 + \sqrt{3} \lesssim 3.74$
[1]	Y_6	5.8
[4]	Y_k, $k \geq 7$	$(1 + \sqrt{2 - 2\cos\theta})/(2\cos\theta - 1)$, where $\theta = 2\pi/k$
[3]	Y_4^∞	6.31
[this paper]	Y_4^∞	$\mathbf{13 + 8\sqrt{2} \lesssim 4.94}$
[this paper]	Y_4	$\mathbf{(11 + 7\sqrt{2})\sqrt{4 + 2\sqrt{2}} \lesssim 54.62}$

Table 1. Upper bounds on the stretch factor of Yao graphs.

* Supported by NSF grant CCF-0728909.

Our contributions. We show that the stretch factor of Y_4 is at most $(11+7\sqrt{2})\sqrt{4+2\sqrt{2}} \lesssim 54.62$, which is a significant improvement upon the best previously known upper bound of 662.16 from [5]. We also show that the stretch factor of Y_4^∞ is at most $13+8\sqrt{2} \lesssim 4.931$, improving the 6.31 bound from [3]. The graph Y_4^∞ is of particular interest due to its planarity property (as a subgraph of the L_∞-Delaunay triangulation [3]) and its applications in scheduling problems [7].

2 Definitions

Let V be a set of vertices in the plane. For each vertex $u \in V$, let x_u and y_u denote the x-coordinate and the y-coordinate of u, respectively. For every pair of vertices $u, v \in V$, the *horizontal distance* between u and v is $d_x(u,v) = |x_u - x_v|$; the *vertical distance* is $d_y(u,v) = |y_u - y_v|$; the Euclidean distance is $d_2(u,v) = \sqrt{d_x(u,v)^2 + d_y(u,v)^2}$; and the L_∞-distance is $d_\infty(u,v) = \max\{d_x(u,v), d_y(u,v)\}$. For any plane graph G with vertex set V, the *weight* of an edge in G is the Euclidean distance between its endpoints; the *length* of a path in G is the sum of the weights of its constituent edges; and the distance in G between $u, v \in V$, denoted $d_G(u,v)$, is the length of a shortest path in G between u and v. We denote by (u,v) the *edge* or the *line segment* connecting u and v, and the distinction between the two will become clear from the context.



Fig. 1. (a) Definitions: quadrants $Q_i(u)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and $Q(u,v)$.

A *cone* is the region in the plane between two rays that radiate from the same point. With each vertex $u \in V$ we associate four cones of angles $\pi/2$ delimited by two lines parallel to the coordinate axes passing through u. We label the cones $Q_1(u), Q_2(u), Q_3(u)$ and $Q_4(u)$ in counterclockwise order, starting to the first quadrant. Refer to Figure 1. To avoid overlapping boundaries, we assume that each cone is half-open and half-closed, meaning that a cone includes its clockwise bounding ray but excludes its counterclockwise bounding ray. For any $u, v \in V$, let $Q(u,v)$ denote the quadrant with apex u that contains v.

The directed Yao graph $\overrightarrow{Y_4}$ with vertex set V is constructed as follows. For each vertex $u \in V$ and each cone $Q_i(u)$, for $i = 1 \ldots 4$, extend a directed edge $\overrightarrow{(u,v)}$ from u to a vertex $v \in V$ that lies in $Q_i(u)$ and minimizes the Euclidean distance $d_2(u,v)$. Ties are broken arbitrarily. The Yao graph $\overrightarrow{Y_4^\infty}$ is defined similarly to Y_4, with two differences: (i) it uses the L_∞-distance $d_\infty(u,v)$ rather than the Euclidean distance $d_2(u,v)$, and (ii) ties are broken by selecting the most counterclockwise edge in each quadrant. The undirected Yao graph Y_4 includes all edges of $\overrightarrow{Y_4}$ but ignores their directions, and similarly for Y_4^∞. We are interested in the stretch factors of Y_4 and Y_4^∞.

Let Del^∞ denote the Delaunay triangulation on V in the L_∞-metric, defined as follows. For any pair of vertices $u, v \in V$, an edge (u,v) is in Del^∞ if and only if there is an axis-aligned square with u and v on its boundary that contains no other vertices in its interior. A well-known property of Del^∞ is that, for each triangle T in Del^∞, the square whose sides pass through the three vertices of T (the *circumsquare* of T) has no vertices of V in its interior.

For any polygon P, let ∂P denote the boundary of P. For any two vertices u and v, let $R(u,v)$ denote the rectangle with sides parallel to the coordinate axes having u and v as opposite corners. (See Figure 4.)

We say that two edges *intersect* (*cross*) if they share a point (an interior point). Note that by this definition, two intersecting edges may share an endvertex. Throughout the paper, we use the symbol $\oplus$ to denote the concatenation operator.

3 Y_4^∞ in the L_∞ Metric

In this section we show that Y_4^∞ has stretch factor at most $\sqrt{13+8\sqrt{2}} \lesssim 4.931$. This improves upon the best previously known stretch factor of $(1+\sqrt{2})\sqrt{4+2\sqrt{2}} \lesssim 6.31$ from [3]. We begin with the following result established in [3].

Lemma 1. *The graph Y_4^∞ is a subgraph of Del^∞, a $(1+\sqrt{2})$-spanner of Del^∞ and also a $(1+\sqrt{2})\sqrt{4+2\sqrt{2}}$-spanner of V.*

Although not explicitly stated, the proof of Lemma 1 from [3] implies the following result.

Lemma 2. *For each triangle $\triangle uvw \in Del^\infty$, at least two of its edges are in Y_4^∞. If (u,v) is not in Y_4^∞, then u and v lie on opposite sides of the circumsquare of $\triangle uvw$.*

An immediate consequence of Lemma 2 is the following.

Corollary 3. *For each triangle $\triangle uvw \in Del^\infty$, if (u,v) is not in Y_4^∞, then*

$$d_\infty(u,v) \geq \max\{d_\infty(u,w), d_\infty(w,v)\}.$$

These together yield the following result.

Lemma 4. *For each edge $(u,v) \in Del^\infty$, there is a path in Y_4^∞ of length*

$$d_{Y_4^\infty}(u,v) \leq (1+\sqrt{2}) \cdot d_\infty(u,v)$$

Proof. If (u,v) is in Y_4^∞, then the theorem clearly holds. So assume that $(u,v) \notin Y_4^\infty$. Let $T = \triangle uvw$ be a triangle in Del^∞ with side (u,v). By Lemma 2, both (u,w) and (v,w) are in Y_4^∞. Thus $(u,w) \oplus (w,v)$ is a path in Y_4^∞ between u and v of length $d_2(u,w) + d_2(w,v)$. Also by Lemma 2, u and v lie on opposite sides of T's circumsquare. This implies that $d_2(u,w) + d_2(w,v)$ is bounded above by $(1+\sqrt{2})d_\infty(u,v)$, which is achieved when one of (u,w) and (v,w) is a side, and the other is a diagonal of T's circumsquare.

The following theorem is key in establishing an upper bound on the stretch factor of Y_4^∞.

Theorem 5. *Let a and b be arbitrary vertices in V. If $x = d_\infty(a,b) = \max\{d_x(a,b), d_y(a,b)\}$ and $y = \min\{d_x(a,b), d_y(a,b)\}$, then*

$$d_{Y_4^\infty}(a,b) \leq 2(1+\sqrt{2})x + y$$

We delay the proof of Theorem 5 until we establish some essential ingredients. The main result of this section, stated in Theorem 6 below, is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.

Theorem 6. *The stretch factor of Y_4^∞ on a set of points V is at most*

$$\sqrt{13+8\sqrt{2}} \lesssim 4.931$$



Fig. 2. Lemma 7: Squares $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_{j-1}$ are not inductive. Square S_j is inductive. Vertex ℓ_i is on the east side of square S_i.

Proof. By Theorem 5, the stretch factor of Y_4^∞ is no greater than the maximum of the function

$$\frac{2(1+\sqrt{2})x + y}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}$$

which is equal to $\sqrt{13+8\sqrt{2}}$ when $x/y = 2(1+\sqrt{2})$.

Our approach in proving Theorem 5 mimics the approach used in [2] to establish a stretch factor of $\sqrt{4+2\sqrt{2}}$ for Del^∞. Before describing this approach, we need to introduce some definitions. To make it easy for the interested reader, most of the terminology in this section is similar to the one used in [2]. We assume without loss of generality that a has coordinates $(0,0)$. In this case, the definitions used in the statement of Theorem 5 imply that b has coordinates (x,y). Let $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r$ be the sequence of triangles in Del^∞ that intersect the line segment ab when moving from a to b. For each triangle T_i, let (h_i, ℓ_i) be the rightmost edge of T_i that intersects ab, with h_i above ab and ℓ_i below ab. We also let $h_0 = \ell_0 = a$, $h_r = b$ and $\ell_{r-1} = \ell_r$. Note that some vertices coincide: either $h_i = h_{i-1}$ and $T_i = \triangle h_i \ell_{i-1} \ell_i$, or $\ell_i = \ell_{i-1}$ and $T_i = \triangle h_{i-1} h_i \ell_i$. Let S_i be the circumsquare of T_i. We call the square S_i *inductive* if $d_\infty(h_i, \ell_i) = d_x(h_i, \ell_i)$. The vertex h_i or ℓ_i with the larger x-coordinate is the *inductive point* of S_i. In Figure 2 for example, h_j is the inductive point of S_j.

One key ingredient in proving Theorem 5 is the following lemma.

Lemma 7. *Assume that $R(a,b)$ is empty. If no square $S_1, \ldots, S_r$ is inductive, then*

$$d_{Y_4^\infty}(a,b) \leq 2(1+\sqrt{2})x + y.$$

Otherwise, let S_j be the first inductive square in the sequence $S_1, \ldots, S_r$. If h_j is the inductive point of S_j, then

$$d_{Y_4^\infty}(a, h_j) + (y_{h_j} - y) \leq 2(1+\sqrt{2})x_{h_j}.$$

If ℓ_j is the inductive point of S_j, then

$$d_{Y_4^\infty}(a, \ell_j) - y_{\ell_j} \leq 2(1+\sqrt{2})x_{\ell_j}.$$

Proof. Because S_j is inductive, $d_\infty(\ell_j, h_j) = |x_{h_j} - x_{\ell_j}|$ (by definition). This along with Lemma 4 implies

$$d_{Y_4^\infty}(\ell_j, h_j) \leq (1+\sqrt{2})|x_{h_j} - x_{\ell_j}| \tag{1}$$

Assume first that h_j is the inductive point of S_j, meaning that $x_{h_j} > x_{\ell_j}$. In this case h_j lies on the east side of S_j and ℓ_j lies on the west or south side of S_j. Let T_i be the first triangle encountered when moving from T_j leftward toward T_1, such that either $i = 0$ or ℓ_i lies on the east side of T_i. Refer to Figure 2. Note that $T_i \neq T_j$, since ℓ_j does not lie on the east side of T_j. Then all edges in Del^∞ on the path $p_{ij} = \ell_i, \ell_{i+1}, \ldots \ell_j$ span between the west and south sides of their enclosing square, and by Lemma 2 they are also in Y_4^∞. Also note that the path p_{ij} descends vertically, therefore $y_{\ell_i} > y_{\ell_j}$. These together with the triangle inequality applied on each edge of p_{ij} imply

$$d_{Y_4^\infty}(\ell_i, \ell_j) < (x_{\ell_j} - x_{\ell_i}) + (y_{\ell_i} - y_{\ell_j}). \tag{2}$$

We now use the combined results from Lemmas 9 and 11 from [2] showing that

$$d_{Del^\infty}(a, \ell_i) \leq 2x_{\ell_i}.$$

This along with the fact that Y_4^∞ is a $(1+\sqrt{2})$-spanner of Del^∞ implies that

$$d_{Y_4^\infty}(a, \ell_i) \leq 2(1+\sqrt{2})x_{\ell_i}. \tag{3}$$

We are now ready to evaluate

$$d_{Y_4^\infty}(a, h_j) + (y_{h_j} - y) \leq d_{Y_4^\infty}(a, \ell_i) + d_{Y_4^\infty}(\ell_i, \ell_j) + d_{Y_4^\infty}(\ell_j, h_j) + y_{h_j}$$

Substituting inequalities (1), (2) and (3) in the right hand side above yields

$$\begin{aligned} d_{Y_4^\infty}(a, h_j) + (y_{h_j} - y) &< 2(1+\sqrt{2})x_{\ell_i} + (x_{\ell_j} - x_{\ell_i}) + (y_{\ell_i} - y_{\ell_j}) + (1+\sqrt{2})(x_{h_j} - x_{\ell_j}) + y_{h_j} \\ &< (2+2\sqrt{2}-1)x_{\ell_i} - (1+\sqrt{2})x_{\ell_j} + (x_{\ell_j} + y_{h_j} - y_{\ell_j}) + (1+\sqrt{2})x_{h_j} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We safely ignored the quantity $y_{\ell_i} < 0$ in the right hand side of the inequality above. Recall that $d_\infty(\ell_j, h_j) = x_{h_j} - x_{\ell_j}$ (since S_j is inductive), therefore $x_{\ell_j} + y_{h_j} - y_{\ell_j} \leq x_{h_j}$. Also note that $x_{\ell_j} > x_{\ell_i} \geq 0$, therefore $-x_{\ell_j} < -x_{\ell_i}$. Substituting these inequalities in (4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} d_{Y_4^\infty}(a, h_j) + (y_{h_j} - y) &< (1+2\sqrt{2}-1-\sqrt{2})x_{\ell_i} + x_{h_j} + (1+\sqrt{2})x_{h_j} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}x_{\ell_i} + x_{h_j} + (1+\sqrt{2})x_{h_j} \\ &< 2(1+\sqrt{2})x_{h_j} \end{aligned}$$

This latter inequality follows from the fact that $x_{\ell_i} < x_{h_j}$.

Assume now that ℓ_j is the inductive point of S_j, so ℓ_j lies on the east side of S_j and h_j lies on the west or north side of S_j. The analysis for this case is symmetric to the one used for the previous case. Redefine T_i to be the first triangle encountered when moving from T_j leftward toward T_1, such that either $i = 0$ or h_i lies on the east side of T_i. Refer to Figure 3. Arguments similar to the ones used for the previous case show that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{Y_4^\infty}(a, h_i) - y_{\ell_j} &\leq d_{Y_4^\infty}(a, h_i) + d_{Y_4^\infty}(h_i, h_j) + d_{Y_4^\infty}(h_j, \ell_j) - y_{\ell_j} \\ &\leq 2(1+\sqrt{2})x_{h_i} + (x_{h_j} - x_{h_i}) + (y_{h_j} - y_{h_i}) + (1+\sqrt{2})(x_{\ell_j} - x_{h_j}) - y_{\ell_j} \\ &< (2+2\sqrt{2}-1)x_{h_i} - (1+\sqrt{2})x_{h_j} + (x_{h_j} + y_{h_j} - y_{h_i}) + (1+\sqrt{2})x_{\ell_j} \\ &\leq (1+2\sqrt{2}-1-\sqrt{2})x_{h_j} + x_{\ell_j} + (1+\sqrt{2})x_{\ell_j} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}x_{h_j} + x_{\ell_j} + (1+\sqrt{2})x_{\ell_j} \\ &< 2(1+\sqrt{2})x_{\ell_j} \end{aligned}$$

In deriving these inequalities we ignored the term $-y_{\ell_j} < 0$ and used the fact that $x_{h_i} < x_{h_j} < x_{\ell_j}$ and $x_{h_j} + y_{h_j} - y_{h_i} < x_{h_j} + y_{h_j} - y_{\ell_j} \leq x_{\ell_j}$ (by the lemma statement that S_j is inductive).



Fig. 3. Lemma 7: Squares $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_{j-1}$ are not inductive. Square S_j is inductive. Vertex h_i is on the east side of square S_i.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5. Our proof follows closely the proof from [2] used to establish a similar result in the context of Del^∞, with some changes necessary to handle edges in Del^∞ that do not exist in Y_4^∞.

Theorem 5 *Let a and b be arbitrary vertices in V. If $x = d_\infty(a,b) = \max\{d_x(a,b), d_y(a,b)\}$ and $y = \min\{d_x(a,b), d_y(a,b)\}$, then*

$$d_{Y_4^\infty}(a,b) \leq 2(1+\sqrt{2})x + y$$

Proof. By the theorem statement, a and b are two arbitrary points in V of coordinates $(0,0)$ and (x,y) respectively, with $x = d_\infty(a,b) \geq y$. Our goal is to prove that $d_{Y_4^\infty}(a,b) \leq 2(1+\sqrt{2})x + y$. The proof is by induction on the L_∞-distance between pairs of points in V.

For the base case, assume that a and b are a closest pair of vertices in the L_∞-metric. In this case $ab \in Del^\infty$ and, by Lemma 4, $d_{Y_4^\infty}(a,b) \leq (1+\sqrt{2}) \cdot d_\infty(a,b) = (1+\sqrt{2})x$. Thus the theorem holds for the base case.

For the induction step, assume that $a, b \in V$ are arbitrary, and that the theorem holds for all pairs of vertices in V strictly closer than $d_\infty(a,b)$ in the L_∞-metric. We discuss two cases, depending on whether the interior of $R(a,b)$ is empty or not.



Fig. 4. Theorem 5: $R(a,b)$ is non-empty.

Case 1. Assume first that the interior of $R(a,b)$ is not empty. Partition the interior of $R(a,b)$ into three regions (call them A, B and C left to right) with two lines of slope one passing through a and b. Any point c in the mid-region B (shaded in Figure 4) satisfies $x_c \geq y_c$ and $x - x_c \geq y - y_c$. If there is such a point,

then we can apply induction on the vertex pairs (a,c) and (c,b) to obtain $d_{Y_4^\infty}(a,c) \leq 2(1+\sqrt{2})x_c + y_c$ and $d_{Y_4^\infty}(c,b) \leq 2(1+\sqrt{2})(x-x_c) + (y-y_c)$. Summing up these two inequalities yields $d_{Y_4^\infty}(a,b) \leq d_{Y_4^\infty}(a,c) + d_{Y_4^\infty}(c,b) \leq 2(1+\sqrt{2})x + y$, so the theorem holds for this case.

Let S_a (S_b) be the largest *empty* square with bottom left corner a (top right corner b) that fits inside $R(a,b)$. If region B is empty, then there must be a vertex $c \in V$, with $c \notin \{a,b\}$, that lies on the boundary of either S_a or S_b. Assume without loss of generality that there is such a vertex on the boundary of S_a, and let c be the most counterclockwise such vertex (relative to a). In this case $(a,c) \in Y_4^\infty$ (by definition) and therefore

$$d_{Y_4^\infty}(a,c) = d_2(a,c) < x_c + y_c \tag{5}$$

If c lies in region A (as in Figure 4b), then $y_c > x_c$ and $x - x_c > y - y_c$. We apply induction on the vertex pair (c,b) to derive $d_{Y_4^\infty}(c,b) \leq 2(1+\sqrt{2})(x-x_c) + (y-y_c)$. This along with (5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} d_{Y_4^\infty}(a,b) &\leq d_{Y_4^\infty}(a,c) + d_{Y_4^\infty}(c,b) \\ &< x_c + y_c + 2(1+\sqrt{2})(x-x_c) + y - y_c \\ &\leq 2(1+\sqrt{2})x + y - (1+2\sqrt{2})x_c \\ &< 2(1+\sqrt{2})x + y \end{aligned}$$

If c lies in region C (as in Figure 4c), then $x_c > y_c$ and $y - y_c > x - x_c$. We apply induction on the vertex pair (c,b) to derive $d_{Y_4^\infty}(c,b) \leq 2(1+\sqrt{2})(y-y_c) + (x-x_c)$. This along with (5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} d_{Y_4^\infty}(a,b) &\leq d_{Y_4^\infty}(a,c) + d_{Y_4^\infty}(c,b) \\ &\leq x_c + y_c + 2(1+\sqrt{2})(y-y_c) + x - x_c \\ &\leq 2(1+\sqrt{2})y + x - (1+2\sqrt{2})y_c \\ &< (1+2\sqrt{2})y + x + y \\ &< 2(1+\sqrt{2})x + y \end{aligned}$$

This latter inequality follows immediately from the fact that $y < x$.

Case 2. Assume now that the interior of $R(a,b)$ is empty. If no square in the sequence $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_r$ is inductive, then by Lemma 7 we have $d_{Y_4^\infty}(a,b) \leq 2(1+\sqrt{2})x + y$ and the theorem holds. Otherwise, let S_j be the first inductive square in the sequence $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_r$.

Assume first that h_j is the inductive point of S_j (so h_j lies on the east side of S_j). Let h_k be the first vertex in the sequence $h_j, h_{j+1}, \ldots h_r = b$ such that

$$x - x_{h_k} \geq y_{h_k} - y > 0 \tag{6}$$

Refer to Figure 2. Inequality (3) implies that h_k is closer to b in the L_∞ metric than a is. This enables us to use induction to determine an upper bound on $d_{Y_4^\infty}(h_k,b)$. Before we do so, note that each edge (h_p, h_{p+1}), for any $j \leq p < k$, has its endpoints on the north and east sides of its enclosing squares S_{p+1}. (The only other alternatives would be for (h_p, h_{p+1}) to span between the west and north sides, or between the west and east sides of S_{p+1}. In each of these cases S_{p+1}, which must pass through a vertex ℓ_{p+1} below b, would extend too far to the right and include the endpoint b, since the horizontal distance from h_p to b is no longer than the vertical distance from h_p to b. This contradicts the fact that S_{p+1} is empty.) This further implies that the path $h_j, h_{j+1}, \ldots, h_k$ is in Y_4^∞ (by Lemma 2). This along with the triangle inequality applied on each edge along this path yields

$$p_{Y_4^\infty}(h_j, h_k) < (x_{h_k} - x_{h_j}) + (y_{h_j} - y_{h_k})$$

This observation together with Lemma 7 used to bound $d_{Y_4^\infty}(a, h_j)$ and the inductive hypothesis used to bound $d_{Y_4^\infty}(h_k, b)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{Y_4^\infty}(a,b) &\le d_{Y_4^\infty}(a,h_j) + d_{Y_4^\infty}(h_j,h_k) + d_{Y_4^\infty}(h_k,b) \\
&< 2(1+\sqrt{2})x_{h_j} - (y_{h_j} - y) + (x_{h_k} - x_{h_j}) + (y_{h_j} - y_{h_k}) + 2(1+\sqrt{2})(x - x_{h_k}) + (y_{h_k} - y) \\
&= 2(1+\sqrt{2})x + (2(1+\sqrt{2}) - 1)x_{h_j} + (1 - 2(1+\sqrt{2}))x_{h_k} \\
&= 2(1+\sqrt{2})x + (1+2\sqrt{2})x_{h_j} - (1+2\sqrt{2})x_{h_k} \\
&\le 2(1+\sqrt{2})x
\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows immediately from the fact that $x_{h_j} \le x_{h_k}$. Assume now that ℓ_j is the inductive point of S_j (so ℓ_j lies on the east side of S_j). Let ℓ_k be the first vertex in the sequence $\ell_j, \ell_{j+1}, \ldots \ell_r$ such that

$$x - x_{\ell_k} \ge y - y_{\ell_k} > 0$$

Refer to Figure 3. Arguments similar to the ones used in the previous case show that

$$p_{Y_4^\infty}(\ell_j, \ell_k) < (x_{\ell_k} - x_{\ell_j}) + (y_{\ell_k} - y_{\ell_j})$$

This along with Lemma 7 used to bound $d_{Y_4^\infty}(a, \ell_j)$ and the inductive hypothesis used to bound $d_{Y_4^\infty}(\ell_k, b)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{Y_4^\infty}(a,b) &\le d_{Y_4^\infty}(a,\ell_j) + d_{Y_4^\infty}(\ell_j,\ell_k) + d_{Y_4^\infty}(\ell_k,b) \\
&< 2(1+\sqrt{2})x_{\ell_j} + y_{\ell_j} + (x_{\ell_k} - x_{\ell_j}) + (y_{\ell_k} - y_{\ell_j}) + 2(1+\sqrt{2})(x - x_{\ell_k}) + (y - y_{\ell_k}) \\
&= 2(1+\sqrt{2})x + y + (2(1+\sqrt{2}) - 1)x_{\ell_j} + (1 - 2(1+\sqrt{2}))x_{\ell_k} \\
&= 2(1+\sqrt{2})x + y + (1+2\sqrt{2})x_{\ell_j} - (1+2\sqrt{2})x_{\ell_k} \\
&\le 2(1+\sqrt{2})x + y
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 5.

4 Y_4 in the L_2 Metric

In this section we turn to the Yao graph Y_4 defined in the Euclidean metric space. It has been shown that, corresponding to each edge $(a, b) \in Y_4^\infty$, there is a path in Y_4 of length $d_{Y_4}(a, b) \le (26 + 23\sqrt{2}) \cdot d_2(a, b)$ (Lemma 9 from [5]). Combined with the result of Theorem 6, which shows that Y_4^∞ is a $\sqrt{13 + 8\sqrt{2}}$-spanner, this yields a stretch factor of $(26+23\sqrt{2})\sqrt{13+8\sqrt{2}} \lesssim 288.59$ for Y_4. This improves upon the best currently known stretch factor of $8\sqrt{2}(26+23\sqrt{2}) \lesssim 662.16$ for Y_4 established in [5]. In this section we further reduce the stretch factor of Y_4 to $(11+7\sqrt{2})\sqrt{4+2\sqrt{2}} \lesssim 54.62$.

For ease of presentation, we introduce a few definitions. Let $p_R(a, b)$ denote the greedy path that begins at a, follows the Y_4 edges pointing in the direction of b, and ends at the first vertex exterior to, or on the boundary of, $R(a, b)$. Figure 5a illustrates this definition. Let $d_R(a, b)$ denote the length of $p_R(a, b)$. In our proofs we use the following preliminary results from [5].

Proposition 8 ([5]). *For any triangle $\triangle abc$, $d_2(a, c)^2 < d_2(a, b)^2 + d_2(b, c)^2$, if $\angle bac < \pi/2$.*

Lemma 9 ([5]). *$d_R(a, b) \le d_2(a, b)\sqrt{2}$, and each edge on $p_R(a, b)$ is no longer than (a, b).*

Lemma 10. *Let $\overrightarrow{(a,b)}$ and $\overrightarrow{(c,d)}$ be two edges in Y_4 that intersect. If $\overrightarrow{(a,b)}$ and $\overrightarrow{(c,d)}$ share an interior point, let (x, y) be a shortest side of the quadrilateral with vertices a, b, c and d; otherwise, let $x = y$ be the common endpoint. In either case,*

$$d_{Y_4}(x, y) \le 3(2 + \sqrt{2}) \cdot \max\{d_2(a, b), d_2(c, d)\}.$$



Fig. 5. (a) Greedy path $p_R(a,b)$ (b) If (a',e) crosses (a,b), then $\overrightarrow{(a',e)} \notin Y_4$.

Proof. This result follows immediately from two intermediate results established in [5]. If $x = y$, then $d_{Y_4}(x,y) = 0$ and the lemma clearly holds. Otherwise, Lemma 4 from [5] shows that

$$d_2(x,y) \leq \max\{d_2(a,b), d_2(c,d)\}/\sqrt{2}$$

Lemma 8 from [5] shows that $d_{Y_4}(x,y) \leq \frac{6}{\sqrt{2}-1} \cdot d_2(x,y)$. These together yield the inequality stated by the lemma.

We need one more lemma before we turn to the main result of this section.

Lemma 11. *Let $\triangle abc \in Del^\infty$ and let S be its circumsquare. Assume that $(a,b) \notin Y_4$ and a, b lie on adjacent sides that meet at corner w of S. Let $\overrightarrow{(a,a')} \in Y_4$ and $\overrightarrow{(a',e)} \in Y_4$ be such that $a' \in Q(a,b)$ and $e \in Q(a',b)$. If (a,a') crosses the line segment (w,b), then (a',e) may not cross (a,b).*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that (a,a') crosses (w,b) and (a',e) crosses (a,b). Refer to Figure 5b. By definition S is empty of vertices, therefore both a' and e lie outside of S. It follows that (w,e) is longer than the side length of S, so the inequality $d_2(w,e) > d_2(w,b)$ holds. Let o be the intersection point between (w,b) and (a',e). Summing up the triangle inequalities for $\triangle woe$ and $\triangle a'ob$ yields $d_2(w,e) + d_2(a',b) < d_2(w,b) + d_2(a',e)$. This along with $d_2(w,e) > d_2(w,b)$ yields $d_2(a',b) < d_2(a',e)$, contradicting the fact that $\overrightarrow{(a',e)} \in Y_4$. It follows that (a',e) may not cross (a,b) and the lemma holds.

We are now ready to establish the main result of this section, showing that there is a short path in Y_4 between the endpoints of each edge in Del^∞.

Theorem 12. *For each edge $(a,b) \in Del^\infty$, $d_{Y_4}(a,b) \leq (11 + 7\sqrt{2}) \cdot d_2(a,b)$.*

Proof. If $(a,b) \in Y_4$, then $d_{Y_4}(a,b) = d_2(a,b)$ and the theorem holds. So assume that $(a,b) \notin Y_4$, and let $\overrightarrow{(a,a')} \in Y_4$, with $a' \in Q(a,b)$. By definition,

$$d_2(a,a') \leq d_2(a,b) \tag{7}$$

This along with Proposition 8 implies that $d_2(a',b)^2 < d_2(a,a')^2 + d_2(a,b)^2 \leq 2 \cdot d_2(a,b)^2$, so

$$d_2(a',b) < \sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(a,b) \tag{8}$$

Since $(a,b) \in Del^\infty$, there is a triangle $\triangle abc \in Del^\infty$ whose circumsquare S contains no vertices in its interior. We discuss two cases, depending on whether a and b lie on adjacent sides or on opposite sides of S.



Fig. 6. Theorem 12: (a, b) spans adjacent sides of S, and (a) (a, a') lies counterclockwise from (a, b), or (b) (a, a') lies clockwise from (a, b); (c) (a, b) spans opposite sides of S.

Case 1. Consider first the simpler case when a and b lie on adjacent sides of S. Assume without loss of generality that a and b lie on the west and north sides of S respectively, so $b \in Q_1(a)$.

Assume first that (a, a') lies counterclockwise from (a, b). Since S is empty of vertices, a' must be above b. Refer to Figure 6(a). Inequality (8) together with Lemma 9 implies

$$d_R(a', b) \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(a', b) < 2 \cdot d_2(a, b),$$

and similarly for $d_R(b, a')$. By Lemma 11, $p_R(a', b)$ may not cross (a, b), therefore $p_R(a', b)$ exits $R(a', b)$ through its right side. This implies that the paths $p_a = (a, a') \oplus p_R(a', b)$ and $p_b = p_R(b, a')$ intersect. If p_a and p_b share a vertex, define $x = y$ to be the common vertex; otherwise, let (x, y) be a shortest side of the quadrilateral formed by the endpoints of the two crossing edges. Lemma 9 tells us that the two crossing edges are no longer than $\max\{d_2(a, a'), d_2(a', b)\}$, and by inequalities (7) and (8) this quantity is no greater than $\sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(a, b)$. This along with Lemma 10 implies that $d_{Y_4}(x, y) \leq 3(2+\sqrt{2})\sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(a, b) = 6(1+\sqrt{2}) \cdot d_2(a, b)$. These together show that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{Y_4}(a, b) &\leq d_2(a, a') + d_R(a', b) + d_R(b, a') + d_{Y_4}(x, y) \\ &\leq d_2(a, b) + 2 \cdot d_2(a, b) + 2 \cdot d_2(a, b) + 6(1 + \sqrt{2}) \cdot d_2(a, b) \\ &= (11 + 6\sqrt{2}) \cdot d_2(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the theorem holds for this case.

Assume now that (a, a') lies clockwise from (a, b). Refer to Figure 6(b). Let $\overrightarrow{(b, b')} \in Y_4$, with $b' \in Q(b, a)$. By definition,

$$d_2(b, b') \leq d_2(a, b) \tag{9}$$

If (b, b') lies clockwise from (b, a), we find ourselves in a situation similar to the one depicted in Figure 6(a), with a and b switching roles. An analysis similar to the one above shows that the theorem holds for this case. So assume that (b, b') lies counterclockwise from (b, a), as depicted in Figure 6(b). In this case (b, b') and (a, a') cross in an interior point. Let (x, y) be a shortest side of the quadrilateral with vertices a, b', a' and b. Lemma 10, along with inequalities (7) and (9), implies that $d_{Y_4}(x, y) \leq 3(2 + \sqrt{2}) \cdot d_2(a, b)$. Thus we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{Y_4}(a, b) &\leq d_2(a, a') + d_2(b, b') + d_{Y_4}(x, y) \\ &\leq d_2(a, b) + d_2(a, b) + 3(2 + \sqrt{2}) \cdot d_2(a, b) \\ &= (8 + 3\sqrt{2}) \cdot d_2(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

So the theorem holds for this case as well.

Case 2. Consider now the case where a and b lie on opposite sides of S. Recall that (a, b) is one side of the triangle $\triangle abc$ enclosed in S. Assume without loss of generality that a and b lie on the south and north sides of S respectively, and that $b \in Q_1(a)$. We further assume that $c \in Q_1(a)$; if this is not the case, we reverse the roles of a and b and rotate the vertex set V by π to make this assumption hold.

The situation where (a, a') lies counterclockwise from (a, b) is similar to the one depicted in Figure 6(a) and the same analysis applies here as well. So assume that (a, a') lies clockwise from (a, b), as depicted in Figure 6(c). Let $\overrightarrow{(b, b')} \in Y_4$, with $b' \in Q(b, a')$. By definition,

$$d_2(b, b') \leq d_2(b, a') < \sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(a, b) \quad \text{(cf. inequality (8))} \tag{10}$$

Also by the definition of Y_4, $d_2(a, a') \leq d_2(a, c)$ and $d_2(b, b') \leq d_2(b, c)$, therefore a' is no higher and b' is no lower than c. We first discuss the more complex situation where (b, b') does not intersect (a, a'). We seek to identify two intersecting paths in Y_4, one that begins at a' and extends toward b', and one that begins with (b, b') and then heads toward a'. By Lemma 11, $p_R(a', c)$ may not cross (a, c), and similarly $p_R(b', c)$ may not cross (b, c). This implies that $p_R(a', c)$ extends above and to the right of c, and $p_R(b', c)$ extends below and to the right of c.

Let e be the endpoint of $p_R(a', c)$ other than a', and let d be the endpoint of $p_R(b', c)$ other than b'. If $p_R(a', c)$ and $p_R(b', c)$ intersect, we found our two intersecting paths. Otherwise, consider the more general case where e lies left of d (a similar analysis applies to the case where e lies right of d). In this case, note that $p_R(e, b')$ is trapped underneath the path $p_b = (b, b') \oplus p_R(b', c)$, therefore $p_R(e, b')$ must intersect p_b. Thus we have identified two intersecting paths, $p_{a'} = p_R(a', c) \oplus p_R(e, b')$ and $p_b = (b, b') \oplus p_R(b', c)$. If $p_{a'}$ and p_b share a vertex, define $x = y$ to be the common vertex; otherwise, let (x, y) be a shortest side of the quadrilateral formed by the endpoints of the two edges on $p_{a'}$ and p_b that cross. Next we determine an upper bound on the length of these crossing edges, which together with Lemma 10 will help determine an upper bound on the distance in Y_4 between x and y.

Let p be the upper right corner of S. Let q be the intersection between the horizontal through p and the circle with center b and radius (b, c). Refer to Figure 6c. Similarly, let u be the lower right corner of S and let v be the intersection between the horizontal through u and the circle with center a and radius (a, c). First observe that $d_2(p, q) < d_2(p, c)$ (this follows immediately from the fact that $\angle pcq < \angle bcq = \angle bqc$), and similarly $d_2(u, v) < d_2(u, c)$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(c, q) &< \sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(p, c) < \sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(a, b) \\ d_2(v, c) &< \sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(u, c) < \sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(a, b) \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We use these inequalities, along with Lemma 9, to establish the following upper bounds: each edge on $p_R(a', c)$ is no longer than $d_2(a', c) \leq d_2(v, c) < \sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(a, b)$, conform inequality (11); each edge on $p_R(e, b')$ is no longer than $d_2(e, b') \leq d_2(c, b') < d_2(c, q) < \sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(a, b)$, and similarly for each edge on $p_R(b', c)$. Inequality (10) shows that the same upper bound of $\sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(a, b)$ holds for $d_2(b, b')$ as well. We conclude that each of the two crossing edges on $p_{a'}$ and p_b is no longer than $\sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(a, b)$. This along with Lemma 10 implies that

$$d_{Y_4}(x, y) \leq 3(2 + \sqrt{2})\sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(a, b) = 6(1 + \sqrt{2}) \cdot d_2(a, b) \tag{12}$$

Also by Lemma 9 we have that $d_R(a', c) \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(a', c)$, $d_R(b', c) \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(b', c)$, and $d_R(e, b') \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(e, b') \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(b', c)$. Summing up these inequalities yields

$$\begin{aligned} d_R(a', c) + d_R(e, b') + d_R(b', c) &\leq \sqrt{2} \cdot (d_2(a', c) + d_2(b', c) + d_2(b', c)) \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \cdot (d_2(v, c) + d_2(q, c) + d_2(q, c)) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the inequalities from (11) in the inequality above yields

$$\begin{aligned} d_R(a', c) + d_R(e, b') + d_R(b', c) &\leq \sqrt{2} \cdot (\sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(u, c) + \sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(p, c) + \sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(p, c)) \\ &= 2 \cdot (d_2(u, p) + d_2(p, c)) < 4 \cdot d_2(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

This latter inequality follows from the fact that each of $d_2(u,p)$ and $d_2(p,c)$ is bounded above by $d_2(a,b)$. This together with inequalities (7), (10) and (12) yields

$$\begin{aligned} d_{Y_4}(a,b) &\leq d_2(a,a') + d_2(b,b') + (d_R(a',c) + d_R(e,b') + d_R(b',c)) + d_{Y_4}(x,y) \\ &\leq d_2(a,b) + \sqrt{2} \cdot d_2(a,b) + 4 \cdot d_2(a,b) + 6(1+\sqrt{2}) \cdot d_2(a,b) \\ &= (11 + 7\sqrt{2}) \cdot d_2(a,b) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the theorem holds for this case. The case where (b,b') intersects (a,a') is a special instance of the one discussed above, with the paths $p_{a'}$ and $p_R(b',c)$ reduced to null. This concludes the proof.

5 Conclusion

In this paper we improve the upper bounds on the stretch factors of Y_4^∞ and Y_4. The best known lower bound on the stretch factor of Y_4^∞ is the one established in [2] for Del^∞, which is $\sqrt{4+2\sqrt{2}} \lesssim 2.62$. Narrowing the gap between this lower bound and the upper bound of 4.94 established in this paper remains open.

The second result of this paper reduces the upper bound on the stretch factor of Y_4 from 662.16 to 54.62. This bound might be improved with a more careful analysis that does not rely on the intermediate results from [5] employed by Lemma 10. We believe that the real stretch factor is much lower, and leave open reducing the upper bound further.

References

1. Luis Barba, Prosenjit Bose, Mirela Damian, Rolf Fagerberg, Wah Loon Keng, Joseph O'Rourke, André van Renssen, Perouz Taslakian, Sander Verdonschot, and Ge Xia. New and improved spanning ratios for yao graphs. *Journal of Computational Geometry*, 6(2):19–53, 2015.
2. Nicolas Bonichon, Cyril Gavoille, Nicolas Hanusse, and Ljubomir Perković. The stretch factor of L_1- and L_∞-Delaunay triangulations. In *Proceedings of the 20th Annual European Conference on Algorithms*, ESA'12, pages 205–216, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012. Springer-Verlag.
3. Nicolas Bonichon, Iyad Kanj, Ljubomir Perković, and Ge Xia. There are plane spanners of maximum degree 4. In *Proceedings of the Thirtieth Annual Symposium on Computational Geometry*, SOCG'14, pages 20:20–20:29, New York, NY, USA, 2014. ACM.
4. Prosenjit Bose, Mirela Damian, Karim Douïeb, Joseph O'Rourke, Ben Seamone, Michiel Smid, and Stefanie Wuhrer. $\pi/2$-angle Yao graphs are spanners. *CoRR*, abs/1001.2913, 2010.
5. Prosenjit Bose, Mirela Damian, Karim Douïeb, Joseph O'Rourke, Ben Seamone, Michiel Smid, and Stefanie Wuhrer. $\pi/2$-angle Yao graphs are spanners. *International Journal of Computational Geometry and Applications*, 22(1):61–82, 2012.
6. Nawar M. El Molla. Yao spanners for wireless ad hoc networks. Master's thesis, Villanova University, 2009.
7. Der-Tsai Lee and C. K. Wong. Voronoï diagrams in $L_1(L_\infty)$ metrics with 2-dimensional storage applications. *SIAM Journal of Computing*, 9(1):200–211, 1980.
8. Andrew C. Yao. On constructing minimum spanning trees in k-dimensional spaces and related problems. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 11(4):721–736, 1982.